MiniBooNE and a $(\mathbf{CP})^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sterile neutrino

D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova* and Alex B. Nielsen†

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Rutherford Building, University of Canterbury,

Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8020, New Zealand

(Dated: 16 February 2007, Accepted for publication in Mod. Phys. Lett. A)

arXiv:hep-ph/0702049v2 23 Feb 2007

Abstract

It has been taken as granted that the observation of two independent mass-squared differences necessarily fixes the number of underlying mass eigenstates as three, and that the addition of a sterile neutrino provides an additional mass-squared difference. The purpose of this Letter is to argue that if one considers a sterile neutrino component that belongs to the $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sector, then both of the stated claims are false. We also outline how the results reported here, when combined with the forthcoming MiniBooNE data and other experiments, can help settle the issue of the CP properties of the sterile neutrino; if such a component does indeed exist.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 11.30.Er

*Electronic address: dharamvir.ahluwalia-khalilova@canterbury.ac.nz

†Electronic address: abn16@student.canterbury.ac.nz

To understand the excess $\overline{\nu}_e$ events at LSND [1], and their absence at KARMEN [2], is one of the outstanding issues of neutrino-oscillation phenomenology. On the experimental side, the forthcoming results from MiniBooNE [3, 4] should shed significant light on this issue. On the theoretical side, it has been generally accepted that the observation of two independent mass-squared differences necessarily fixes the number of underlying mass eigenstates to three, and that the addition of a sterile neutrino provides an extra mass-squared difference. The purpose of this Letter is to argue that if one considers a sterile neutrino component belonging to the $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ Wigner sector [5], then both of the stated claims are false. We also outline how the existing data, and the forthcoming results from MiniBooNE and other experiments, can help settle the issue of the CP properties of the sterile neutrino; if such a component does indeed exist.

That $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ classes exist for spin one half, and that these remain fermionic, is a classic result obtained by Wigner in the early sixties [5], and later by Lounesto [6]. This happens without going beyond the Poincaré symmetries. Recently, one such quantum field has been explicitly constructed [7, 8], and its various properties have been investigated by a number of authors [9, 10, 11]. For instance, the explicit construct has been shown to carry limited interactions with standard model fields. In the absence of a preferred direction — such as the one which may arise due to an external magnetic field — it carries a Klein-Gordon propagator. Here, however, we do not restrict ourselves to the $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ states reported in [7, 8] but allow for a more general identification. The basic inspiration to take from all this is that Dirac, or Majorana, eigenstates do not exhaust the possibilities that exist for a spin one half fermionic field, but that additional, equally fundamental, constructs exist and that these carry new and unexpected physical properties. These should be experimentally investigated without theoretical prejudices. Neutrino oscillations, as will become apparent in what follows, provide an ideal laboratory to probe these aspects of physical reality.

Towards the stated goal, as an ansatz, we consider a sterile neutrino that belongs to $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sector of the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ fermionic Wigner classes [5], and define a set of four flavour neutrino states [20]

$$|\xi\rangle = \sum_{j=1,2,3} U_{\xi j}|d_j, h\rangle + U_{\xi 4}|4, h\rangle \tag{1}$$

where the flavour $\xi = \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. The $U_{\xi j}$ and $U_{\xi 4}$ are elements of a 4×4 real unitary matrix

carrying no CP violation. This assumption is merely for simplicity and may be relaxed [21]. The $|d, h\rangle$ are Dirac mass eigenstates, with $(CP)^2 = +\mathbb{1}$; while $|4, h\rangle$ is a sterile mass eigenstate, with $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$. Each of the four mass eigenstates carries a different mass, and h denotes helicity. The assumption that $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ for the sterile component guarantees that it is not a Dirac mass eigenstate. Apart from the stated $(CP)^2$ properties, the introduced Dirac and sterile sectors carry the following additional CP properties

Dirac, $\{C, P\} = 0$:

$$CP|d, h\rangle = |\bar{d}, -h\rangle,\ CP|\bar{d}, h\rangle = |d, -h\rangle. \tag{2}$$

Sterile, $[C, P] = 0$:

$$CP|4, h\rangle = -i|\bar{4}, -h\rangle,\ CP|4, -h\rangle = +i|\bar{4}, h\rangle \tag{3}$$

$$CP|\bar{4}, h\rangle = -i|4, -h\rangle,\ CP|\bar{4}, -h\rangle = +i|4, h\rangle. \tag{4}$$

The former are in accord with the careful treatment given in Ref. [12]. The latter, after appropriate re-identifications, coincide with the $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ construct of Ref. [7, 8]; where, incidentally, it corresponds to the $(CPT)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ Wigner class. For the chosen sterile sector, CP is an anti-unitary operator. The $|\bar{d}\rangle$ represents the CP conjugate of a Dirac mass eigenstate $|d\rangle$; while generically $|\bar{\lambda}\rangle$, with $\lambda = 4$ above, denotes the CP conjugate of a mass eigenstate with $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$.

Using the above enumerated results, the successive action of CP on the set of flavour eigenstates (1) yields the following flavour states

$$|\tilde{\xi}\rangle := CP|\xi\rangle = \sum_j U_{\xi j}|\bar{d}_j, -h\rangle - iU_{\xi 4}|\bar{4}, -h\rangle \tag{5}$$

$$|\xi'\rangle := CP|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = \sum_j U_{\xi j}|d_j, h\rangle - U_{\xi 4}|4, h\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$|\tilde{\xi'}\rangle := CP|\xi'\rangle = \sum_j U_{\xi j}|\bar{d}_j, -h\rangle + iU_{\xi 4}|\bar{4}, -h\rangle \tag{7}$$

with $CP|\tilde{\xi'}\rangle$ being identical to the original flavour $|\xi\rangle$ defined in Eq. (1). Here, and in the following, $j, k := 1, 2, 3$ corresponds to the three Dirac mass eigenstates. The flavour index ξ, as already mentioned, runs over four flavours

$$\xi := \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta. \tag{8}$$

These flavours would soon be seen to be connected to, but not identical with, the operationally defined flavours of neutrinos. The circumstance that

$$|\xi\rangle \stackrel{CP}{\rightarrow} |\tilde{\xi}\rangle \stackrel{CP}{\rightarrow} |\xi'\rangle \stackrel{CP}{\rightarrow} |\tilde{\xi}'\rangle \stackrel{CP}{\rightarrow} |\xi\rangle \tag{9}$$

forces upon us the fundamental question:

> How are the above-obtained flavour states related to the flavour eigenstates $|\nu_\ell\rangle$ and $|\bar{\nu}_\ell\rangle$, where ℓ corresponds to the operationally-defined flavours $\ell := e, \mu, \tau, \zeta$ [22]?

To answer this question we first re-write (9) by collecting together flavours connected by $(CP)^2$ [23]. This gives rise to a pair of flavour sets

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\xi\rangle \\ |\xi'\rangle \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\tilde{\xi}\rangle \\ |\tilde{\xi}'\rangle \end{array} \right\}. \tag{10}$$

The action of CP is now encoded in the following schematic equation

$$CP \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\xi\rangle \\ |\xi'\rangle \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\tilde{\xi}\rangle \\ |\tilde{\xi}'\rangle \end{array} \right\}, \quad CP \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\tilde{\xi}\rangle \\ |\tilde{\xi}'\rangle \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\xi'\rangle \\ |\xi\rangle \end{array} \right\}. \tag{11}$$

The action of CP thus rotates between the two flavour sets. This circumstance leads us to suggest the following identification

> In any given production of ν_ℓ one either creates a $|\xi\rangle$ or $|\xi'\rangle$ with equal probability. Similarly, $\bar{\nu}_\ell$ creation corresponds to the production of either $|\tilde{\xi}\rangle$ or $|\tilde{\xi}'\rangle$ with equal probability.

So, for example,

$$\bar{\nu}_\mu := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\tilde{\beta}\rangle \\ |\tilde{\beta}'\rangle \end{array} \right\}, \quad \bar{\nu}_e := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\tilde{\alpha}\rangle \\ |\tilde{\alpha}'\rangle \end{array} \right\}. \tag{12}$$

The discussion above constitutes our working answer to the asked question. At this stage it is an hypothesis. Its validity, or its final acceptance, should be deferred to experiments, and/or to additional theoretical work. What appears certain is that if nature does superimpose mass eigenstates with differing $(CP)^2$, or for that matter with different $(CPT)^2$ properties, then the particle-antiparticle concept must undergo a fundamental reexamination. Our

suggestion constitutes a preliminary, and perhaps first, theoretical attempt in that direction. However, its flavour mirrors the remarks contained in the opening paragraph of Sec. III A of Langacker-London paper on nonorthogonal neutrinos [13].[24]

One of our tasks here is to understand excess $\overline{\nu}_e$ events at LSND [1], their absence at KARMEN [2], and the forthcoming results from the MiniBooNE [3, 4]. As such, we now exploit the emergent interpretation for calculating the flavour oscillation probability for $\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e$. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e\right) \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\Bigg\{ & \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}\middle|\tilde{\beta}\right\rangle^t\right|^2 + \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}'\middle|\tilde{\beta}\right\rangle^t\right|^2 \\ & + \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}\middle|\tilde{\beta}'\right\rangle^t\right|^2 + \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}'\middle|\tilde{\beta}'\right\rangle^t\right|^2\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where the notation $|\;\rangle^t$ corresponds to the space-time evolved state in the usual neutrino-oscillation experimental setting. In order to understand the ensuing expression for the $\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e\right)$, we would now like to present each of the four terms explicitly. To facilitate this, we introduce the following definitions

$$\mathcal{A}_{jk} := 4U_{\alpha j}U_{\alpha k}U_{\beta j}U_{\beta k} \tag{14}$$

$$\chi := \frac{1}{2}\Bigg\{\sum_j U_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j} - U_{\alpha 4}U_{\beta 4}\Bigg\}^2 \tag{15}$$

$$\varphi_{jk} := \frac{\Delta m^2_{jk} L}{4\hbar c E} = \frac{1.27\Delta m^2_{jk}(\mathrm{eV}^2)L(\mathrm{km})}{E(\mathrm{GeV})} \tag{16}$$

where L refers to the source-detector distance, E is the neutrino energy, and $\Delta m^2_{jk} := m^2_j - m^2_i$. We also need to define $\mathcal{A}_{j4}$ and Δm^2_{j4}. These are obtained by the replacement $k \to 4$ in Eq. (14) and Eq. (16), respectively.

With these definitions, the four terms that appear in Eq. (13) read

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}\middle|\tilde{\beta}\right\rangle^t\right|^2 &= \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}'\middle|\tilde{\beta}'\right\rangle^t\right|^2 \\ &= -\sum_{j<k}\mathcal{A}_{jk}\sin^2\varphi_{jk} - \sum_j A_{j4}\sin^2\varphi_{j4} \\ \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}'\middle|\tilde{\beta}\right\rangle^t\right|^2 &= \left|\left\langle \tilde{\alpha}\middle|\tilde{\beta}'\right\rangle^t\right|^2 \\ &= 2\chi - \sum_{j<k}\mathcal{A}_{jk}\sin^2\varphi_{jk} + \sum_j A_{j4}\sin^2\varphi_{j4}.\end{aligned}$$

As such, we have the central result of the Letter

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e\right) = \chi - \sum_{j<k} \mathcal{A}_{jk} \sin^2 \varphi_{jk}. \tag{17}$$

It is to be immediately noted that due to the manifest cancellation of the φ_{j4} terms, the $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sterile-neutrino component does *not* induce any oscillatory terms. Its presence is felt solely through the constant *flavour transmutation* term. The transmutation term may be re-written as

$$\chi = \left\{ \sum_j U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j} \right\}^2 + \left\{ U_{\alpha 4} U_{\beta 4} \right\}^2. \tag{18}$$

It represents a fundamentally unavoidable $\bar{\nu}_e$ "contamination" in a $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ beam. We refer to this "contamination" as a flavour transmutation rather than a flavour oscillation due to its L/E independence. Its origin can be traced back to the fact that while each of the flavours, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ in (5) are mutually orthogonal (e.g., $\langle \tilde{\alpha} | \tilde{\beta} \rangle = 0$), and the same being true with the flavours, say, in (7) (e.g., $\langle \tilde{\alpha}' | \tilde{\beta}' \rangle = 0$); the flavour states such as $|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\beta}'\rangle$ have non-vanishing overlap, i.e. $\langle \tilde{\alpha} | \tilde{\beta}' \rangle \neq 0$.

The above remarks parallel those surrounding Eq. (25) of the Langacker-London paper on nonorthogonal neutrinos [13]. There, the effect arises from a mismatch between the light and heavy neutrinos. In our case a very similar result arises from a mismatch between the $(CP)^2$ properties of the Dirac and sterile mass eigenstates. We do not assume that the mass of the sterile component is significantly larger than the mass of the Dirac components.

Following the same procedure as above, we have also calculated $\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_\mu\right)$, $\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_\tau\right)$, $\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_\zeta\right)$. These, together with $\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e\right)$, sum to unity, and carry no oscillatory term associated with the $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sterile-neutrino component. Each of these contains a flavour transmutation term. We have also calculated $\mathcal{P}\left(\nu_\mu \to \nu_e\right)$ and find it equals $\mathcal{P}\left(\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e\right)$.

It is now to be noted that a non-zero χ mimics an anomalous decay that produces a $\bar{\nu}_e$. For this reason existing analysis of the KARMEN and LSND data may be used to determine the value of χ. Specifically, KARMEN saw 15 $\bar{\nu}_e$ like sequences. These are in agreement with the background expectation of 15.8 ± 0.5 $\bar{\nu}_e$ like sequences [14]. If the LSND result is assumed to be entirely due to an anomalous decay, then KARMEN should have seen 15 additional $\bar{\nu}_e$ like events [15]. But because a non-zero χ mimics an anomalous decay, the absence of the additional events at KARMEN places severe constraints on χ. Therefore, unless MiniBooNE reports a confirmation of a LSND-like signal with essentially

no L/E dependence, the existing data on KARMEN rules out a non-zero χ; i.e., it excludes a $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sterile neutrino component in the presented $3+1$ scenario.

The central result of this Letter establishes that the addition of a $(CP)^2 = -\mathbb{1}$ sterile neutrino component does not introduce an extra mass-squared difference in the neutrino oscillation probability. It is, therefore, true that the observation of two independent mass-squared differences does not necessarily fix the number of underlying mass eigenstates as three. A $3+2$ extension of our formalism yields only 3, not 4, oscillatory terms in the relevant flavour oscillation probabilities. For these reasons we argue that the $(CP)^2 = \pm\mathbb{1}$ nature of any sterile neutrino component is experimentally accessible.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Terry Goldman, Daniel Grumiller, and Ben Leith for helpful discussions and comments. We are grateful to an anonymous referee for bringing to our attention the subject of nonorthogonal neutrinos [13].

---

[1] C. Athanassopoulos et al. (LSND), Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3082 (1996), nucl-ex/9605003.

[2] B. Armbruster et al., Phys. Rev. **C57**, 3414 (1998), hep-ex/9801007.

[3] I. Stancu (MiniBooNE), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **155**, 164 (2006).

[4] H. L. Ray (MiniBooNE) (2007), hep-ex/0701040.

[5] F. Gursey, ed., *E. P. Wigner in Group theoretical concepts and methods in elementary particle physics (Lectures of Istanbul summer school of theoretical physics July 16-August 4, 1962)* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964).

[6] P. Lounesto, *Clifford algebra and spinors* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).

[7] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova and D. Grumiller, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 067701 (2005), hep-th/0410192.

[8] D. V. Ahluwalia-Khalilova and D. Grumiller, JCAP **0507**, 012 (2005), hep-th/0412080.

[9] R. da Rocha and W. A. Rodrigues Jr., Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21**, 65 (2006), math-ph/0506075.

[10] C. G. Boehmer, Annalen Phys. **16**, 38 (2007), gr-qc/0607088.

[11] C. G. Boehmer (2007), gr-qc/0701087.

[12] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields, Vol. I* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

[13] P. Langacker and D. London, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 907 (1988).

[14] J. Reichenbacher (2005), Ph.D. thesis, FZKA-7093.

[15] T. Goldman, private communication (2007).

[16] A. E. Bernardini (2006), hep-ph/0611342.

[17] H. Murayama and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B520**, 263 (2001), hep-ph/0010178.

[18] D. V. Ahluwalia, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A13**, 2249 (1998), hep-ph/9807267.

[19] B. W. Lee, S. Pakvasa, R. E. Shrock, and H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 937 (1977).

[20] Such a suggestion has already been noted by Bernardini in Ref. [16].

[21] By adding the $(CP)^2 = \pm\mathbb{1}$ sectors together, however, we do allow for the possibility of CP violation. This may lead to mixing of the $(CPT)^2 = \pm\mathbb{1}$ Wigner classes and to a novel form of CPT violation. The latter is presently widely considered in the context of neutrino-oscillation data, see, e.g., Ref. [17, 18]. For additional discussion of this point, in a related context, the reader is referred to Ref. [7, 8]

[22] The new flavour ζ is just a logical necessity of the 4×4 framework. It complements the three flavours associated with electron, muon, and tau charged leptons.

[23] In the absence of the considered sterile component the flavours connected by $(CP)^2$ are identical. Now this is no longer so.

[24] The idea of non-orthogonal neutrinos seems to have first arisen in Ref. [19].